Serial No. 10/695,453 Docket No. T36-159874M/RS (NGB.322)

REMARKS

As a preliminary matter, Applicant's representative would like to thank

Examiner Williams for courtesies extended in the telephonic interview conducted on

October 14, 2005.

Applicant submits this Statement to comply with the requirements of M.P.E.P. § 713.04. In the interview, the following was discussed:

A. Identification of claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 3.

B. Identification of prior art discussed:

Nomoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,320,216 B1).

C. Conduct of the Interview:

Applicant's representative discussed the Request for Reconsideration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 filed on October 6, 2005.

In the interview, Applicants' representative argued that Nomoto does <u>not</u> disclose or suggest that the "transition layers" 5a and 5b of Nomoto include a "transition metal", as recited in claim 1.

Particularly, Applicants' representative noted that the "transition layers 5a and 5b", as shown in Figures 11A and 11B of Nomoto, are not the same as the claimed "transition metal" (i.e., a transition metal as identified in the Periodic Table of Elements) but instead, merely share a common word (i.e., transition) used in a completely different context.

Applicants' representative argued that the ordinarily skilled artisan would <u>not</u> consider a "transition layer" to mean a "transition metal", as claimed. Applicants'

Serial No. 10/695,453

Docket No. T36-159874M/RS

(NGB.322)

representative also argued that the claims reasonably must be interpreted in light of the

3

specification.

Examiner Williams explained that he was interpreting the language of the claims

very broadly. The Examiner stated that, in view of such a broad interpretation, the

Examiner considered that Nomoto discloses a transition layer that is metal. Thus, the

Examiner considers this a "transition metal".

However, the Examiner stated that he would consider Applicants' position.

Applicants note that an Advisory Action subsequently was mailed on October 20,

2005.

E. **Conclusion:**

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems

otherwise, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees or

to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: October 28, 2005

John J. Dresch, Esq. Registration No. 46,672

Sean M. McGinn

Registration No. 34,386

MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200 Vienna, Virginia 22182-3817 (703) 761-4100

Customer No. 21254